# Development of the interferometer (1)

- Development steps of the TAMA300 interferometer -

• TAMA300 interferometer is developed step by step....



## Development of the interferometer (2)

— First observation phase (Phase I) —

- First observation phase of TAMA300 (**Phase I**).
  - Start in August, 1999.
  - $\cdot$  Operate almost the whole interferometer.
    - $\cdot$  10 W laser source with 10 m mode cleaner.
    - $\cdot$  Main interferometer with 300 m arm cavities.
    - $\cdot$  Without power recycling.
  - Sensitivity  $h \sim 3 \times 10^{-20}$ .
    - ⇒ Displacement noise  $< 5 \times 10^{-19}$  m/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ . Laser frequency noise  $< 1 \times 10^{-6}$  Hz/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ .
- Developing the interferometer.

— The interferometer is **operated with the final configuration**.

### Development of the interferometer (3)

— TAMA300 interferometer for Phase I —



#### 10-W laser source (1)

— Features —

#### • Injection-locked Nd:YAG laser.

- $\cdot$  Output power of 10 W.
- $\cdot$  Master laser
  - $\cdot$  LD-pumped Nd:YAG laser (700 mW).
  - $\cdot$  Frequency-controlled with
    - Thermal control, PZT, External EOM.
- $\cdot$  Slave laser
  - $\cdot$  Fiber LD-pumped ring laser.
  - Injection-locked to the master laser with a VCM (Voice Coil Motor).
  - Error signal is extracted using 20 MHz phase modulation.
- $\cdot$  Intensity stabilization
  - $\cdot$  Controlled with an external EOM.





# 10-W laser source (2)

— Intensity stabilization —

• Intensity noise is stabilized using an EOM. — stabilized to  $2 \times 10^{-8}$   $1/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ .



## 10-m mode cleaner (1)

— Features —

### • 10-m ring cavity.

- $\cdot$  Three mirrors
  - Independently suspended (double pendulum).
- · Finesse 1,700.
- $\cdot$  Transmissivity 54%.
- Length control
  - Error signal extracted using 12 MHz phase modulation, fed back to to laser source.
- Transmission of the modulation sidebands.
  - · FSR = Modulation freq. (15.235 MHz).
  - $\cdot$  Error signal
    - extracted using additional modulation.



#### 10-m mode cleaner (2)

— Frequency stabilization —

- Laser frequency noise
  - pre-stabilized using the 10-m mode cleaner.
  - $\rightarrow$  stabilized to 5  $\times$  10<sup>-4</sup> Hz/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ .



# 10-m mode cleaner (2)

- Transmission of the modulation sidebands -

• Phase modulator

for the control of the main interferometer

- $\cdot$  Placed before the MC.
  - $\rightarrow$  reduce the wave-front distortion.
- $\cdot$  Modulation frequency  $(\nu_{\rm mod})$

— equal to the FSR of the MC ( $\nu_{\rm FSR}$ ).

- $\cdot$  Modulation sidebands pass through the MC.
- $\cdot$  Cause excess noise

without fine adjustment of  $\nu_{\rm mod}$ .



## **10-m mode cleaner (3)** — Excess noise —

• Adjust the modulation freq. equal to the FSR of the MC. (the difference — below 10 Hz)



• Excess noise is below the shot noise level (30 mA).

## **300-m arm cavity (1)** — Features —

- 300-m Fabry-Perot arm cavities
  - $\cdot$  Finesse 516.
  - $\cdot$  Cut-off frequency 480.
  - Alignment control system (Wave-front sensing scheme).



## 300-m arm cavity (2)

— Alignment control —

• Operated stably with the alignment control system.

Four degrees of freedom are controlled for each arm cavity.



#### 300-m arm cavity (3)

- Long term operation -

- One arm cavity operated about 4 days without unlock.
  - $\cdot$  Laser source 700 mW NPRO.
  - $\cdot$  With alignment control.



### Main interferometer with the 300-m arm cavities (1)

— Features —

- Michelson interferometer with the 300-m Fabry-Perot arm cavities.
  - $\cdot$  Finesse 516.
  - $\cdot$  Cut-off frequency 480.
- Controlled with the frontal modulation scheme.
  - ·  $\delta L_{-}$  signal fed back to the front mirrors (diff.).
  - ·  $\delta l_{-}$  signal fed back to the beam splitter.
  - ·  $\delta L_+$  signal fed back to the laser and the MC.
- Alignment control system.
  - $\cdot$  Wave-front sensing scheme.
  - $\cdot$  Sample small power with pick-off mirrors.

Fabry-Perot-Michelson interferometer with an arm length of 300 m



# Main interferometer with the 300-m arm cavities (2) — Operation of the main interferometer —

- Main interferometer operated over 4 hours.
  - $\cdot$  Laser source 700 mW NPRO.
  - $\cdot$  With alignment control.



## TAMA300 interferometer (1)

— Operation of the whole interferometer —

• TAMA300 interferometer is

operated under the Phase I configuration.

- $\cdot$  10 W laser source.
  - $\cdot$  Frequency of the master laser
    - controlled with the error signals of the MC and the interferometer.
  - $\cdot$  Slave laser injection-locked to the master laser.
- $\cdot$  10 m ring mode cleaner.
  - $\cdot$  Pre-stabilize the laser frequency.
  - $\cdot$  Transmission of the modulation sidebands.
  - · Length is controlled with the  $\delta L_+$  signal of the interferometer.
- $\cdot$  Main interferometer with the 300 m arm cavities.
  - $\cdot$  Controlled with the frontal modulation scheme.
    - $\cdot$   $\delta L_{-}$  signal differentially fed back to the front mirrors.
    - ·  $\delta l_{-}$  signal fed back to the beam splitter.
    - $\cdot \ \delta L_+$  signal fed back to the laser and the MC.
  - $\cdot$  Alignment control for the arm cavities.
  - · Without power recycling.



#### TAMA300 interferometer (3)

— Lock acquisition —

• Difficult to acquire the lock of the interferometer only with the main control signals.

 $\downarrow$ 

Use auxiliary control signals.

extracted from the sampled beams from the pick-off mirrors.



## TAMA300 interferometer (4)

- Displacement noise level of the TAMA300 interferometer -

• Preliminary sensitivity.

Displacement noise level —  $1 \times 10^{-16} \text{ m}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ .



• Stability — operated over one hour.

### TAMA300 interferometer (5)

— Noise sources and problems —

• Noise sources.

- $\cdot \sim 30$  Hz seismic noise.
- $\cdot \sim \! 500 \; \mathrm{Hz} \mathrm{alignment}$  control system noise.
- $\cdot$  500 Hz  $\sim -$  noises due to electronic circuits.
- Poor contrast and CMRR.

```
Contrast -95.4\%, CMRR -44.
```

Probably, because of insufficient mode matching and alignment.  $\clubsuit$ 

Changed the mode-matching telescope

between the MC and the main interferometer.

```
\Downarrow Mode matching — 97.8% \rightarrow 99.8%.
```

#### Summary and future works

• The TAMA300 interferometer is

operated with the final control configuration for the Phase I observation.

- · Sensitivity  $\sim 1 \times 10^{-16} \text{ m}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ .
  - $\Downarrow$
- Improve the sensitivity  $\rightarrow 5 \times 10^{-19} \text{ m}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ .
  - $\cdot$  Incident full laser power onto the PDs  $\rightarrow$  improve the shot noise and detection system noise level.
  - $\cdot$  Optimize the alignment filter  $\rightarrow$  reduce the alignment control system noise.
  - $\cdot$  Change the mode-matching telescope  $\rightarrow$  improve the contrast and the CMRR.
- Realize stable operation.
  - · Low frequency drift control (beam pointing, beam centering, modulation frequency, ...).
  - $\cdot$  Improvement of the environment (vibration due to vacuum pumps, ...).
- Data-acquisition and analysis.
  - $\cdot$  Data-acquisition system.
  - $\cdot$  Calibration.
  - $\cdot$  Data analysis.

## Locked-Fabry-Perot configuration (1)

- Optical and control configuration -

- Operate the both arm cavities with the 10 W laser source.
- Locked Fabry-Perot configuration.



